

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/783,254	02/13/2001	Motasim Sirhan	020460000930	1701
20350	7590 07/09/2002			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			EXAMINER	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			PHAN, HIEU	
SAN FRAN	CISCO, CA 94111-383	4	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3738	
	•		DATE MAILED: 07/09/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/783,254	SIRHAN ET AL.	^			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	/			
	Hieu Phan	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05.	lune 2002 .					
_	is action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the merits is	s			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-54 and 57-60</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>55 and 56</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6 (PTO-1449)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3738

R sp nse to Election/R striction

Page 2

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 37-59, and added claim 60 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. Non-elected claims 1-36 are withdrawn from further consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 38-51 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 38-51 recite the limitation "mizoribine". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The independent claim 60 discloses the release of methylprednisolone and does not mention the release of mizoribine.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

0/500 0 5 4

Art Unit: 3738

6. Claims 52-60 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 52-60 of copending Application No. 09/782804. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because method of using mizoribine and methylprednisolone to inhibit restenosis in a blood vessel following recanalization of the blood vessel.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 60 and 38-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Domb et al. (U.S. Patent 5,512,055).

Domb et al. disclose a stent with polymeric coatings with methylprednisolone as is claimed (Abstract, column5 lines 34-46 and column 6 lines 37-59).

9. Claims 37, 52-51 and 57-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gregory et al. (U.S. Patent).

Gregory et al. disclose method for treating hyperproliferation vascular disease by administering MPA and Mizoribine as is claimed (Abstract, column 3 lines 44-52 and column 24-31).

Application/Control Number: 09/783,254

Art Unit: 3738

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

10. Claims 55 and 56 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hieu Phan whose telephone number is 703-308-8969. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine M McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular

communications and 703-305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0873.

Hieu Phan

Examiner

Art Unit 3738

July 1, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700